

### Measuring Air Quality with Wearable Devices

Arie Kapteyn

Center for Economic and Social Research, University of Southern California

Htay-Wah Saw

Center for Economic and Social Research (CESR), University of Southern California & Michigan Program in Survey and Data Science, University of Michigan-Ann Arbor

Bas Weerman Center for Economic and Social Research, University of Southern California



# **Study Background**

- The pilot started in June 2021 (transitioning from piloting to scaling up)
- Respondents wear air quality monitor (Atmotube) continuously for one year
- About the device:
  - Bluetooth enabled
  - Communicates with a smartphone app
  - Collect pollution data (<u>PM 1.0, PM 2.5, PM 10.0</u>) and weather data (temperature, pressure, humidity) at 1-minute intervals
  - App uploads pollution data to server
  - Battery lasts for at least a week
- Part of a large study funded by a grant from NIA and SSA (Grant Number: <u>5U01AG054580</u>)









### **Overall Goals**



- To measure air quality exposure at the individual level
- To achieve a fine-grained and high temporal summary of sources of personal pollution during the day, such as at home, at work, during travel or commuting, or elsewhere
- Long term goal: To assess how air quality impacts health and wellbeing of individuals across the country and in different living environments.



# Study Context – Understanding America Study (UAS)

- Probability-based Internet panel
- Established at USC in 2014
- About 13,000 active panel members
- Recruited from a list of all addresses in the United States
- Surveys on economics, psychology, health, government policy...





# Study Context – Understanding America Study (UAS)

• Because surveys are conducted online, internetconnected tablets are provided to people who didn't have Internet access before





Phone ownership (more than one answer		
possible)		
Has an Android Phone	471	41%
Has an Apple iPhone	615	54%
Has a different phone	86	8%
Has no phone	11	1%
Consented when having approriate phone		
Yes	683	64%
No	337	32%
Unsure	45	4%



# **Monthly Survey**



- Housing characteristics
- Perceived air quality
- Some items about wear time
- Time diary about yesterday in 30 minute chunks where people were



#### **Distribution of Respondents' Locations Yesterday**







#### Average Pollution by Respondents' Location Yesterday







#### Average pollution by respondents' housing characteristics





• A graph for living room windows shows similar results



USCDornsife

Center for Economic and Social Research

### Average pollution by household income and employment status





Center for Economic and Social Research

#### Average pollution by education and race/ethnicity







#### Average pollution by age







#### Average pollution by month of the year (Atmotube)







#### Average pollution by month of year (EPA monitors)







#### Regression Analysis (month dummies included)



		OLS		Random Effects (RE)	
	Atmo PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5
EPA PM 2.5	2.004	1.752		0.39	
	[0.051]*	[0.082]*		[0.624]	
Race/Ethnicity (Ref: White only)		$\smile$			
Black only		6.955	-0.123	7.461	0.143
		[0.298]	[0.625]	[0.488]	[0.719]
Others		4.057	-0.1	-4.204	-0.139
		[0.527]	[0.679]	[0.627]	[0.668]
Age (Ref: 18-44)					
45-64		16.105	-0.068	7.743	-0.079
		[0.004]***	[0.750]	[0.362]	[0.805]
65 or older		-22.143	-0.198	-24.574	-0.256
		[0.001]***	[0.425]	[0.024]**	[0.520]



#### **Regression Analysis** (month dummies included)



		OLS		Random Effects (RE)	
	Atmo PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5
EPA PM 2.5	2.004	1.752		0.39	
	[0.051]*	[0.082]*		[0.624]	
Race/Ethnicity (Ref: White only)		$\smile$			
Black only		6.955	-0.123	7.461	0.143
		[0.298]	[0.625]	[0.488]	[0.719]
Others		4.057	-0.1	-4.204	-0.139
		[0.527]	[0.679]	[0.627]	[0.668]
Age (Ref: 18-44)		$\frown$			
45-64		16.105	-0.068	7.743	-0.079
		[0.004]***	[0.750]	[0.362]	[0.805]
65 or older		-22.143	-0.198	-24.574	-0.256
		[0.001]***	[0.425]	[0.024]**	[0.520]



Center for Economic and Social Research

#### **Regression Analysis** (continued)



		OLS		Random Effects (RE)	
	Atmo PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5
Medium Education		15.277	0.365	9.733	0.291
		[0.042]**	[0.199]	[0.391]	[0.494]
High Education		8.637	-0.103	1.911	-0.076
		[0.252]	[0.718]	[0.864]	[0.856]
HH Income (Ref: < \$50K)					
50-75K		-18.413	-0.143	-15.773	-0.17
		[0.010]***	[0.594]	[0.144]	[0.672]
75K and above		-27.665	0.09	-25.152	-0.323
		[0.000]***	[0.683]	[0.004]***	[0.322]
Employment Status (Ref: Currently working)					
Currently not working		23.657	0.224	25.19	-0.051
		[0.000]***	[0.276]	[0.002]***	[0.868]
Constant	2.941	-0.276	7.932	18.229	8.205
	[0.720]	[0.984]	[0.000]***	[0.209]	[0.000]***
Observations	719	713	713	713	713
R-squared	0.005	0.134	0.058		



Center for Economic and Social Research

#### Regression Analysis (continued)



		OLS		Random Effects (RE)	
	Atmo PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5
Medium Education		15.277	0.365	9.733	0.291
		[0.042]**	[0.199]	[0.391]	[0.494]
High Education		8.637	-0.103	1.911	-0.076
		[0.252]	[0.718]	[0.864]	[0.856]
HH Income (Ref: < \$50K)					
50-75K		-18.413	-0.143	-15.773	-0.17
		[0.010]***	[0.594]	[0.144]	[0.672]
75K and above		-27.665	0.09	-25.152	-0.323
		[0.000]***	[0.683]	([0.004]***)	[0.322]
Employment Status (Ref: Currently working)		$\smile$			
Currently not working		23.657	0.224	25.19	-0.051
		[0.000]***	[0.276]	[0.002]***	[0.868]
Constant	2.941	-0.276	7.932	18.229	8.205
	[0.720]	[0.984]	[0.000]***	[0.209]	[0.000]***
Observations	719	713	713	713	713
R-squared	0.005	0.134	0.058		



Center for Economic and Social Research

#### **Regression Analysis** (continued)



		OLS		Random Effects (RE)	
	Atmo PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5	Atmo PM 2.5	EPA PM 2.5
Medium Education		15.277	0.365	9.733	0.291
		[0.042]**	[0.199]	[0.391]	[0.494]
High Education		8.637	-0.103	1.911	-0.076
		[0.252]	[0.718]	[0.864]	[0.856]
HH Income (Ref: < \$50K)		$\frown$			
50-75K		-18.413	-0.143	-15.773	-0.17
		[0.010]***	[0.594]	[0.144]	[0.672]
75K and above		-27.665	0.09	-25.152	-0.323
		[0.000]***	[0.683]	[0.004]***	[0.322]
Employment Status (Ref: Currently working)		$\smile$		$\smile$	
Currently not working		23.657	0.224	25.19	-0.051
		[0.000]***	[0.276]	[0.002]***	[0.868]
Constant	2.941	-0.276	7.932	18.229	8.205
	[0.720]	[0.984]	[0.000]***	[0.209]	[0.000]***
Observations	719	713	713	713	713
R-squared	0.005	0.134	0.058		



Center for Economic and Social Research

# **First impression**



- Not much correlation between individually worn monitors and the EPA monitors
- The EPA monitors don't seem to be very informative about invidual exposure to bad air
- Caveat: We still have to model the air quality by Census Tract



### Next Steps



- Recruit up to 1000 respondents, and collect their pollution data up to one year
- Model daily air quality measures by Census Tract based on EPA ground station measures.
- Substantive analysis:
  - relate exposure to air pollution to health and cognitive outcomes, racial and socioeconomic differences in exposure to air pollution





### Thank You!

Email: <u>kapteyn@usc.edu</u> UAS Web Site: <u>https://uasdata.usc.edu/index.php</u>

