

# **Making Time Count**

A Time Use Accelerometer Study in Malawi

Joris Mulder – Centerdata Talip Kilic – World Bank Seyit Höcük – Centerdata Pradeep Kumar – Centerdata Alberto Zezza – World Bank

#### 

4<sup>th</sup> MASS workshop Manchester

22-23 June 2023





Centerdata

An independent research institute located on the campus of Tilburg University





Our mission Provide insights for science, society, and policy



For whom Academics, Policy makers, Governmental institutes











# Living Standard Measurement Study LISMS

- Face-to-face time-use survey in rural areas
- 24 hour recall-based diary, weekly visits
- Very costly

#### **Goal: alternative data collection for LSMS**

- Physical activity trackers (ActiGraph)
- 14 consecutive days, N=415 (15+years)
- Cheaper, more accurate and objective data

## **Human Activity Recognition (HAR)**



Farming



#### Hunting



Social activities



**Fetching water** 



Cooking

Working for wage



# Research question

Can advances in machine learning be leveraged to accurately predict time use from physical activity sensor output collected as part of household surveys in low-income countries?

→ Can we predict time use activities from accelerometer data?



# **Two Types of Data**

#### ActiGraph GT3X measures acceleration

#### **Time Use Survey** 25 activities

#### MODULE : TIME ALLOCATION

PLEASE RECORD A LOG OF THE ACTIVITIES FOR THE INDIVIDUAL IN THE LAST COMPLETE 24 HOURS (STARTING YESTERDAY MORNING AT 4 AM, FINISHING 3:59 AM OF THE CURRENT DAY). THE TIME INTERVALS ARE MARKED IN 15 MIN INTERVALS. <u>MARK ONE PRIMARY ACTIVITY FOR EACH TIME PERIOD</u> BY ENTERING THE CORRESPONDING ACTIVITY CODE IN THE BOX. A SECONDARY ACTIVITY (OPTIONAL) CAN BE ENTERED IN CASE OF SIMULTANEOUS ACTIVITIES.

THIS FORM MUST BE ADMINISTERED TO THE RESPONDENT HIMSELF/HERSELF DURING THE 2ND AND 3RD VISITS TO THE HOUSEHOLD.

	Now I'd like to ask you about how yo interested in everything you did (i.e.	low I'd like to ask you about how you spent your time during the past 24 hours. We'll begin from yesterday morning, and continue through to this morning. This will be a detailed accounting. I'm nterested in everything you did (i.e. resting, eating, personal care, work inside and outside the home, caring for children, cooking, shopping, socializing, etc.), even if it didn't take you much time.																																						
		Night						Morning				Day																												
						5:00			6:00				7:00		$\perp$	8:	00		9:00			10:00		11:00		12:00			13:00			14:00		15:00		1				
	1. Primary Activity (WRITE ACTIVITY CODE)																																							
	2. Secondary Activity (WRITE ACTIVITY CODE)																																							
					D	ay			1	Eve	ning														1	ligh	It													
					16:00 17:00			00	18:00			Γ	19:00		Τ	20	:00	Τ	21:00		22:00		23:00		Γ	24:00		Г	1:00		Τ	2:00		3:00						
	3. Primary Activity (WRITE ACTIVITY CODE)				Τ	Π	Τ		T				Π		T	Τ	Π	1	Τ	Τ	Γ		Τ	Τ	Π	Τ				Τ		Π	Τ	T	Τ	Π	T	Τ	Γ	Γ
+++	4. Secondary Activity (WRITE ACTIVITY CODE)																													Ι										
•	ACTIVITY CODES																																_							-
	ACTIVITY CODES           A.         Sleeping and resting B.         Etailing and drinking C.         E.         Work for a wage, salary, commission or in-kind payment (incl. ganyu, paid apprenticeships)           D.         Personal care D.         Fersonal care (incl. homework)         F.         Run, work or help in a non-agricultural and non-fishing household business           G.         Work for other households free of charge as exchange laborer H.         Farming L.         Fishing K.           J.         Fishing K.         Hunt or gather foodstuffs L.         Buy food or other items or obtain services M.										N P Q RH S T	louse to re	ehol	ld ma vate, rovid	r pro Coll Fetch ainte , ext le ca .Loc	epare ect fi man end are o k aft	e foo rewo ter fr C ce o or bu r ass ter ch	d or ood o om r lean r owr uild ti sistar hildre	drini atur the cor nce t nce t	ks to her n hous struc ouse o ad 7 yea	pres atura publ e, w ction hold ults ( ars o	erve al pro lic so ash work 's dw (18+ r you	the duce or ind k (e. vellin year unge	m ts son g. g) s) r)	U V W X Y Z	Pl	an tr atchii ial or	ne h ng T reli	ous Tra IV/lis	ehok avell steni is ac	J's fin ing ar ng to tivitie	ianc nd o rad	es o comn lio/re Exer nd ho	r bill adin cisin obie Othe	s g g g g g s er					



#### **Time use data**



# **Building machine learning models**

Predicting time use activities from the accelerometer data

Random Forest XGBoost → best performance

		•	
Model	buildin	d nine	line
		9 Pipe	

Preprocessing	Data Cleaning	Feature Engineering	Model Building	Model Evaluation
<ul> <li>Coupling accelerometer data with time-use data</li> <li>Enhancing with available background information</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Removal of non-wear time</li> <li>Excluding 2<sup>nd</sup> activities</li> <li>Time limit (4 am-10 pm)</li> <li>Discard correlated vars</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>39 features, i.e.,</li> <li>4 base features (X, Y, Z, Step),</li> <li>31 derived features,</li> <li>4 background (age, gender)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Activity selection</li> <li>Data balancing</li> <li>Normalizing</li> <li>Classification algorithms</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>75%/25% train/test split</li> <li>Accuracy, F<sub>1</sub>-score, AUC</li> <li>Division: Young vs Old, Male vs Female</li> </ul>

#### **Predictive power**

Set size	Participants	Precision	Recall	F <sub>1</sub> -score
2036	333	68 %	54 %	61 %
2036	199	75 %	77 %	76 %
2036	239	56 %	69 %	62 %
2036	316	80 %	55 %	65 %
2036	380	68 %	55 %	61 %
2036	234	77 %	75 %	76 %
2036	149	79 %	86 %	82 %
2036	345	91 %	67 %	77 %
2036	170	79 %	93 %	86 %
2036	179	78 %	93 %	85 %
2036	37	89 %	99 %	94 %
2036	40	85 %	99 %	92 %
				77 %
			$\subset$	76 %
	Set size         2036	Set size         Participants           2036         333           2036         199           2036         239           2036         316           2036         380           2036         234           2036         149           2036         345           2036         170           2036         37           2036         37           2036         40	Set sizeParticipantsPrecision203633368 %203619975 %203623956 %203631680 %203638068 %203623477 %203614979 %203634591 %203617079 %20363789 %20363785 %20364085 %	Set sizeParticipantsPrecisionRecall203633368 %54 %203619975 %77 %203623956 %69 %203631680 %55 %203638068 %55 %203623477 %75 %203614979 %86 %203634591 %67 %20363789 %93 %20363789 %99 %20364085 %99 %

A random guess has a prediction score of 100/12 = 8.3 %

Yes, we can predict activities with high accuracy

### **Confusion Matrix**

A confusion matrix nicely highlights which misclassifications there are between predicted and actual activities

		Predicted activity														11	
A clear misclassification is for example between "Eating" and "Cooking"		Resting -	Farming -	Personal care -	Cleaning -	Travelling -	Fetching water -	Work for wage -	Cooking -	Hunting -	Social, religious -	Eating -	Household work -			0,0	
	Household work		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	99.9%			0%
	Eating -			3.1%	1.1%	2.5%	1.4%	2.5%	2.1%	12.5%	3.7%	7.4%	54.6%	3.2%			
	Social, relig	jious -	4.3%	3.6%	2.7%	2.3%	4.4%	3.0%	2.3%	12.4%	2.7%	54.4%	6.2%	1.7%			
	Hur	nting -	0.7%	1.8%	0.4%	1.0%	1.3%	1.7%	0.7%	2.5%	86.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.6%			
÷		king -	3.0%	1.7%	1.0%	5.6%	1.3%	5.5%	0.3%	68.7%	5.4%	2.6%	3.7%	1.3%			
	weige Work for w	vage -	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		-	10%
	Z - Fetching v	vater -	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	1.6%	0.3%	93.1%	0.3%	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%			
	Trave	elling -	2.9%	7.2%	0.8%	2.5%	54.9%	5.1%	3.8%	6.2%	2.6%	6.1%	4.4%	3.5%			
	Clea	ning -	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	92.9%	0.1%	0.5%	0.0%	2.4%	1.2%	0.2%	1.1%	0.2%			
	Personal	care -	3.0%	3.1%	67.3%	2.4%	1.5%	2.3%	1.8%	7.1%	2.8%	2.9%	2.8%	3.1%			
	Fari	ming -	1.1%	77.0%	0.4%	3.7%	2.3%	4.2%	0.7%	2.8%	2.0%	2.3%	2.8%	0.8%			
	Re	sting -	74.6%	3.6%	0.3%	2.7%	1.0%	2.0%	0.7%	5.4%	1.9%	2.7%	3.1%	2.0%			100%
																	/

Confusion matrix

#### **Influence of variables**



#### Background variables improve predictive power

# **Applying the model**



#### What's Next

Can we do better and improve models?



# New data collection in Malawi (2022/2023)

#### Improve data quality model prediction

- High-frequency sensor data (60 Hz)
- Larger sample size (N=1,440)
- More activities
- Two types of time use: 24h-recall & smartphone diary
- Deep learning models
- Activity pop-ups:
  - Type of food?
  - With whom?
  - Affect: how do you feel?

#### **Experience sampling**



**Questions?** 





Alberto Zezza Joris Mulder Talip Kilic Pradeep Kumar Seyit Höcük azezza@worldbank.org joris.mulder@centerdata.nl tkilic@worldbank.org pradeep.kumar@centerdata.nl seyit.hocuk@centerdata.nl







# Applying the model



#### Zero Hunger Lab





UN Sustainable Development Goal 2 (SDG-2): Zero Hunger

# **Activity Recognition**







#### Generaliseerbaarheid model



Er is een sterke afhankelijkheid tov het aantal participanten



#### **Gender wise differences**



#### **Gender wise differences**



But there are underlying differences in the number of participants, especially male and female

#### **Gender wise differences**

