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## Outline

- Research question: Do racially isolated/Black-segregated everyday exposures increase the likelihood of engaging in risk behavior among urban Black youth?
- Methodological question: Does Ecological Momentary Assessment yield valid estimates of risk behavior in or near real time?


## Background \& Theory

- Racial Isolation perspective
- Segregated neighborhood residence increases time spent in concentrated poverty neighborhoods with limited resources
- Rates of risk behavior are expected to increase with activity space exposure to racially isolated activity spaces
- Access to "mainstream" (lower proportion Black - often whiter/more affluent) communities and associated organizational resources decreases likelihood of risk behavior
- Compelled Mobility perspective
- Segregation-driven lack of institutions and resources leads to more time spent outside the neighborhood to seek resources
- Additional resources are beneficial, but...
- Increased time spent in lower proportion Black areas may increase experiences of racial exclusion for Black youth - particularly Black boys, leading to more stress, negative affect, and in turn, more risk behavior


## Key Objective

- Link GPS-derived measures of everyday exposure to low proportion Black neighborhoods across a 5-day period to in-home survey- and EMA-reported risk behavior using data from the Adolescent Health and Development in Context I study


## Adolescent Health \& Development in Context (AHDC) Wave 1 2014-2016

- Representative sample of 1,405 urban youth ages 11-17 living within area of Franklin County, Ohio.
- Self-reported survey from youth and a caregiver on wide range of demographics, family contexts, risk behaviors, attitudes, and health outcomes
- Seven-day smartphone-based GPS tracking and brief phone-based questionnaires (EMA) to examine youth perceptions, behaviors, and activity space locations in real time across the study week



## AHDC Data - GPS Data Collection

- Study provided Android phones during participation
- Continuous passive GPS collection over 7 days of the Geographic Ecological Momentary Assessment (GEMA)
- 91\% coverage rate over the week
- Space-time budget: Recall-aided GPS cleaning using study designed software to infer stable and travel periods for 5 of the 7 days
- Measure of activity space racial isolation: Proportion of waking time, including home, across the 5-day period spent in block groups with less than 20\% Black population


## EMA Data Collection

- 5 prompts per day; app opens to a web-based survey
- Randomly chosen times within each time block
- No prompts during weekday school hours
- 20 minutes to acknowledge prompt; 20 minutes to answer
- Questions on location, affect, safety, risk behaviors, network partner presence, social control at the time of the prompt
- EMA response rate is $53 \%$



## EMA_Q9A_1_1 P

Were you or anyone else around you doing any of the following?
PLEASE SELECT ALL THAT APPLY.
$\square$ yelling/cursing in anger
$\square$ physically fighting or being violent
$\square$ harassing/threatening/bullying
$\square$ stealing
$\square$ drinking alcohol
$\square$ smoking cigarettes or using tobacco
using e-cigarettes or vaping
taking someone else's prescription drugs
$\square$ using illegal drugs
buying/selling drugs
$\square$ participating in sexual activity
$\square$ destroying property/vandalism
None of the above
Don't Know

- Refuse


## Sample Descriptives

|  | Full AHDC | Black Youth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| White | $47 \%$ |  |
| Black | $38 \%$ |  |
| Other Race | $15 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Female | $53 \%$ | $14.1(1.8)$ |
| Age in years | $14.2(1.9)$ |  |
|  |  | $.20(.31)$ |
| Proportion of waking time spent in <br> block groups with <20\% Black <br> population | 1,315 | 490 |
| $N$ |  |  |

## Outcomes

|  | Full AHDC | Black Youth |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Survey Reports in the last 30 days |  |  |
| Violence (average count of 14 items <br> reported, incl hitting, fighting, harassment, <br> chasing) | .71 | .89 |
| Delinquency (average count of 10 items <br> reported, incl stealing, B\&E, damaging <br> property) | .19 | .20 |
| Drinking alcohol (average number of days) <br> Marijuana Use (average number of days) | .33 | .10 |
| EMA Reports in the moment | $\mathrm{N}=18,943$ | $\mathrm{~N}=6,623$ |
| Any violence, delinquency, drinking alcohol, | $0.31 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ |

## Predicted Allocation of Non-home Time in <30\% Black, 30-<70\% Black, and >=70\% Black Locations



## Distribution of Mean (5-Day) Exposure to Low Proportion Black Block Groups among Black Youth



## EMA Locations of Black Youth by Block Group Proportion Black



## EMA Substance Use Validation with Survey Reports ${ }^{1}$


(1) EMA Actual: Proportion of youth who ever report use on an EMA
(2) Predicted proportion of youth with EMA use based on Survey frequency using 1 hr recall
(3) Predicted prop. of youth with EMA use based on Survey frequency using 30 minutes recall
${ }^{1}$ Assuming missing EMA are MAR, likelihood of risk behavior is equally

## Multilevel Logistic Regression on EMAReported Risk Behaviors

|  | Coefficient / SE |
| :--- | :---: |
| Female | 0.34 |
| Prop. Time spent in <20\% Black BGs | $(0.68)$ |
|  | $2.13^{* *}$ |
| Female * Time spent in <20\% Black | $(0.76)$ |
| BGs | -1.50 |
|  | $(1.31)$ |
| ** p < 0.01; * p < 0.05; BG = Block Group. |  |
| Analyses control for whether it is a school day, age, self-control, and |  |
| lifetime risk behaviors. |  |

## Multilevel Regression on Survey-Reported Risk Behaviors - Last 30 Days

|  | Delinquency | Violence | Alcohol | Marijuana |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 0.55 | 0.16 | 0.67 | -0.11 |
| Prop. Time spent in <br> $<20 \%$ Black BGs | $1.56^{*}$ | $0.42)$ | $(0.17)$ | $(0.06)$ |
|  | $(0.62)$ | $(0.27)$ | $0.83)$ |  |
| Female * Time <br> spent in <20\% <br> Black BGs | -1.27 | -0.61 | 0.06 | 0.02 |
| *p < 0.05; BG = Block Group. <br> Analyses control for whether it is a school day, age, self-control, and lifetime risk <br> behaviors. | $(0.04$ | $(0.23)$ |  |  |

Predicted Probability of EMA Risk Behavior Report Among Black Boys by Proportion of Time spent in Block Groups with < 20\% Black Population


## Predicted Last-30-Day Counts of Violent Behaviors Among Black

 Boys by Proportion of Time spent in Block Groups with <20\% Black Population

## Predicted Last-30-Day Counts of Delinquent Behaviors Among Black Boys by Proportion of Time spent in Block Groups with <20\% Black Population



## Conclusions/Discussion

- More time spent in lower percent Black activity spaces is associated with higher likelihood of risk behaviors for Black males, for both the last 30-day survey reports of violence and delinquency as well as the combined EMA risk reports
- Findings consistent with the compelled mobility model expectations that higher levels of exposure to compositionally lower proportion Black (typically whiter/more affluent) areas is positively associated with risk behavior among Black (male) youth
- AHDC II
- More extended smartphone period
- Measurement of risk behavior
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## Appendix Slides

## Risk Behavior Outcomes - details

## Survey Reports in the last 30 days

- Violence
- 14 items including physically fighting, harassing or bullying
- Delinquency
- 12 items including stealing, breaking and entering, damaging property
- Drinking alcohol
- Marijuana use


## EMA Reports by Youth at the prompt

- Violence
- physically fighting, harassing or bullying
- Delinquency
- stealing or damaging property
- Drinking alcohol
- Using illegal drugs


## Predictor \& Control Variables

- Main Predictor: Activity space racial isolation
- Calculated from the interactive space-time budget based on continuous GPS data over 5 of the 7 GEMA days
- the percent of total waking time including home spent in block groups with populations that have less than $20 \%$ Black populations
- Gender
- Youth: age, self-control
- SES and Family: Household income, caregiver marital status, caregiver education
- Residential neighborhood concentrated disadvantage
- EMA: school day, lifetime risk behavior reports from the survey


## EMA Substance Use Validation with Survey Results

- Proportion of AHDC youth that report any alcohol use in the moment on the EMA is 0.0093
- Given the survey reports of alcohol use in the last 30 days (average is about .33 days), the expected probability of drinking alcohol on a given day in the last month is 0.011
- Assuming the rate of alcohol drinking is equivalent across hours of the day, the hourly rate for 16 waking hours in a day is

$$
0.011 / 16=0.0007
$$

- Adjusting for the number of EMAs delivered per day (5) across 7 days of the GEMA week, and the average response rate for EMAs (53\%), the expected probability of reporting alcohol drinking on any given EMA is

$$
0.0007 * 5 * 7 * .53=0.013
$$

## Rare Events Logit Results

